Joint Staff J-7

Worldwide Joint Training and Scheduling Conference 2006-1

WJTC 02-04
Dueout & Deliverables

28 March 2006

CAPT Jeffrey Miller, USN
Chief, Joint Training Division

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JS, J3

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-19 (BOGrp 3)</u>: COCOM's lack understanding of JS J3 role as Global Force Manager & JFCOM's role as Primary Joint Force Provider and impact of this mission on COCOMs.

<u>Discussion</u>: JFCOM was recently assigned mission as Primary Joint Force Provider as part of Global Force Management. JFCOM will make recommendations to JS on global sourcing. CJCS is the Global Force Manager and will make all decisions on force providing. JFCOM is still developing the procedures and resources required to execute this mission. COCOM's require education and applicable training on procedures associated with GFM, particularly as it might impact COCOM-assigned forces.

<u>Impact</u>: COCOM's unclear on their role in GFM

<u>Submitted By</u>: EUCOM, TRANSCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: COCOM's fully educated on role in GFM mission

<u>Status</u>: Per 4 May 05 SecDef Memorandum, CDRJFCOM is designated as the primary Joint Force Provider; JFCOM is responsible for identifying and recommending sourcing solutions from all forces (except forces designated by CDRSOCOM, CDRTRANSCOM and CDRSTRATCOM) to the CJCS. All sourcing solutions will be coordinated with the Military Departments and other CoComs.

<u>OPR</u>: JS J3; OCR: JFCOM J3

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JS, J7

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-01 (BOGrp 1)</u>: Resource COCOMs to plan and execute their joint training programs.

<u>Discussion:</u> Align resources with statutory joint training responsibilities. Operational, C2, Theater, and Training Transformation change training effort and costs. COCOMs are not staffed to fully implement the Joint Training System nor to adequately participate in the transformation processes. Training Program Assessment and Lessons Learned Programs are under resourced. Resources are needed to enable interagency and other non-DoD participation in joint training and to exploit JNTC. Components cannot accurately program JFHQ training funding.

<u>Impact:</u> Critical joint training may not be accomplished to standard. JFHQ (COCOM JFHQ, JTF HQ, Functional Component HQ) and joint forces are less prepared for integrated global operations. T2 and C2 Transformation cannot be properly executed.

<u>Submitted By</u>: HQ USEUCOM, EPOC-JTRE, Col Dave Beydler

<u>Endstate:</u> COCOMs have access to adequate resources to plan and execute their joint training programs, including programming funding for JFHQ training funding. COCOM training funding is competed outside of Executive Agent POM and fenced within Executive Agent budget.

<u>Status:</u> 1) COCOMs have identified funding requirements; 2) JS/J-7 worked this issue under the TC AoA Alt 5 process in concert with OSD and JFCOM; Result: Funding will be provided to provide distributed manpower support to COCOM training program in FY06.

<u>OPR:</u> JS J7; OCR: OSD (R&T)

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to Monitor

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-02 (BOGrp 1)</u>: Independently developed automated support tools need management and oversight to ensure they are complementary and will collectively meet DoD requirements.

<u>Discussion:</u> Automated support tools (JTIMS, JDRAT,…) are JMETL/AMETL based, yet current configurations use different task terminology and methodologies for addressing UJTL deviations. JS J7 developing an effective approach to include automated procedures for UJTL updates. Policy needed on information and intelligence data sharing across military and civilian organizations (TIM2 community).

<u>Impact:</u> Training and readiness community needs an integrated suite of automated support tools that support collaborative planning and effective knowledge management. Integrated tool suite must use consistent terminology and system interfaces should provide data exchange that support single data entry for use in multiple applications. T2 objectives will be difficult to meet if these issues are not addressed as JTIMS, ESORTS, and UJTL development efforts continue.

<u>Submitted By:</u> USSTRATCOM / OP70, MAJ Coughlin.

<u>Endstate:</u> Automated support tools that are independently developed to meet specific requirements will have coordinated management and oversight to ensure these tools will collectively meet the T2 objectives and training / readiness requirements.

<u>Status:</u> NexGen JTIMS SPIRAL 2 is integrated with DRRS to dynamically pull the COCOM/CSA J/AMETLs. JDEIS is the authoritative source for the UJTL, Service Task Lists, and Joint Capabilities. A future NexGen JTIMS SPIRAL will provide the capability to dynamically send the Training Proficiency Assessments (TPAs) generated in NexGen JTIMS to the training tab of DRRS.

<u>OPR</u>: JS J7; OCRs: OSD P&R, Joint Staff J8, JFCOM, USPACOM

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor as JTIMS spiral developments are fielded

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-05 (BOGrp 1):</u> Accelerate the development of doctrine and TTP to support emergent capabilities used in real-world operations.

<u>Discussion:</u> Per Joint Training Policy, joint training is to be conducted to agreed joint doctrine. Current rapid transformations are establishing new constructs, e.g., SJFHQ, JIACG, Intergovernmental Operations, Homeland Defense, etc., with no doctrine and minimal TTP, inhibiting effective training,

<u>Impact:</u> Training events are transformed into experimentation events that inform the concept development but do little to improve training audience readiness.

<u>Submitted by:</u> HQ USEUCOM, EPOC-JTRE, Col Dave Beydler.

<u>Endstate:</u> JS and JFCOM have implemented rapid doctrine and TTP development and approval processes that provide and update useable interim doctrine and TTP for emergent transformational concepts as baseline for operational employment and associated training.

<u>Status</u>: JEDD has implemented a new change process for expediting doctrine and TTP development approval processes. A considerable amount of doctrine, however, is being held up due to lack of policy or changing policy at the OSD level. New policy described in CJCSI 5120.02, 30 Nov 04. See Enclosure C, paragraph 8.

<u>OPR:</u> JS J7; OCR: JFCOM JWFC, OSD, Services

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-08 (BOGrp 1)</u>: Define the entry requirements for each T2 enabling capability; make the definition a part of new enablers as they are developed.

<u>Discussion</u>: Active joint customer (COCOM, CSA) participation in the processes of enabling capabilities (JNTC, JKDDC, JAEC, TIM2, TC AoA, JTFCB, etc.) requires easy portal access to working group process. Entry requirements need to be clearly described by T2 capability lead and understood by Joint customer in order to enable effective joint training. Communication among OSD, JS and COCOMs\CSAs does not adequately inform the COCOMs\CSAs of process schedules associated with building and maintaining critical enablers. In addition, where COCOMs\CSAs do have visibility, they are not adequately resourced to insert informed input into each of the processes.

<u>Impact</u>: T2 implementation is sub-optimized by inadequate input from the user.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USTRANSCOM, Major Jim Stanford

<u>Endstate</u>: T2 enablers can be accessed through straight forward presentation of joint customer need to the T2 capability leadership. T2 enablers have clearly described 'on/off ramps' for joint customers to easily access T2 benefits in development of their joint training programs. T2 FOC is fully optimized

<u>Status</u>: The Training Transformation Forum provides easy portal access to all T2 enabling capabilities through one website http://www.t2net.org/forums/index.cfm. The T2 calendar, meeting data, roster, briefs and publications. This portal also provides links to all three T2 capabilities and the TIM2 task force. For access contact Lori Frumkin, lfrumkin@alionscience.com, (703) 998-1651.

<u>OPR:</u> JS J7; OCRs: OSD R&T, OSD Policy, COCOMs, CSAs, Services, JFCOM

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-17 (BOGrp 3)</u>: Integration of lessons learned into training.

<u>Discussion</u>: Joint & Service Lessons Learned currently captured by a number of independent groups, agencies & activities into a variety of non-linked databases. Joint Trainers require timely access to Lessons Learned analysis, specifically tailored to support the requirements development process/JTS made available through a single access point. COCOM's understaffed for Lessons Learned support in planning for training; not staffed for active collection.

<u>Impact</u>: Lessons Learned not incorporated into training objective development and event planning.

<u>Submitted By</u>: COCOM's

<u>Endstate</u>: Easy access to all lessons learned by entire training support community.

<u>Status</u>: The Joint Staff is conducting technical evaluations on the two systems scoring the highest ratings from the Best of Breed Survey (LMS and ALMS). Milestone: Technical Evaluations to be completed by 10 March 06. JFCOM, JCOA, designed, developed and conducted initial testing of the Central Repository Proof of Principle with members of the JLLP COP.

<u>OPR</u>: JS J7; <u>OCR</u>: JFCOM JCOA

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-14 (BOGrp 2)</u>: Need to standardize collaboration tools (IWS vs DCTS).

<u>Issue</u>: JS using one collaboration tool and COCOMs using a different tool that are not interoperable.

<u>Impact</u>: Collaboration required for T2 cannot be effectively executed with tools that are not interoperable.

<u>Endstate</u>: Interoperable training collaboration tools.

<u>Submitted By</u>: COCOMs/CSAs

<u>Status</u>: OSD authorized the use of IWS and DCTS until a new long-term collaborative tool is selected and fielded. JFCOM staffing document to establish common set of requirements for real-time online collaboration for potential inclusion in Network Centric Enterprise Services (NCES) Capability Development Document (CDD).

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7 JTPB, LTC Sullins; OCR : OSD

<u>Recommendation</u>: Recommend close -- Joint Staff J7 cannot impact this decision

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04 TRANSCOM 01</u>: JEP Support Funding Methodology.

<u>Issue</u>: COCOM (Joint Staff, USTRANSCOM, USSTRATCOM, USSOCOM, USJFCOM) support is essential to all Regional CoCom CPX exercises.

<u>Impact</u>: Current funding methodology fails to recognize functional COCOM costs in support of CPX events.

<u>Endstate</u>: A funded CPX package consisting of event and support costs.

<u>Submitted By</u>: USTRANSCOM

<u>Status</u>: The issue is the funding of TRANSCOM functional support to the Geographic COCOMs. Currently only SOCOM receives funding (through the Bronze Arrow program) to support the Geographic COCOMs. A change to the Chairman's instruction governing the JEP is required, along with the agreement of the other COCOMs, and OSD. Since JEP funding is limited in FY07 any additional funding to support this effort may not be available until FY08.

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7, JEXD; OCR : JFCOM, J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Continue to monitor

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04CENTCOM04:</u> The requirement exists for DOD personnel to conduct planning, coordination, and support of interagency operations, yet military personnel are not educated nor trained to accomplish doctrinal tasks requiring interagency coordination.

<u>Discussion:</u> The National Security Strategy requires the development, coordination, and application of the elements and instruments of national power to achieve national security objectives. In order to accomplish this requirement, USCENTCOM must have senior leadership and staff officers that are educated and trained in the coordination and integration of regional interagency activities.

<u>Impact:</u> Without an interagency education and training program, personnel will continue to conduct ad hoc interagency coordination of doctrinal interagency tasks.

<u>Submitted By:</u> LtCol Brad McAllister, JIACG, 651-1960, mcallibg@centcom.mil

<u>Endstate:</u> DOD provides USCENTCOM with senior leadership and staff officers who are educated and trained to accomplish ST 8.5 - Coordinate and Integrate Regional Interagency Activities.

<u>Status</u>: The CJCSI Education Policy (1800.01C) revised, published 22 Dec 05. National Security Strategy remains a primary learning area. Curriculums will continue to undergo updates to reflect the latest information. The OPMEP addresses learning requirements at the Intermediate, Senior level Colleges as well as all GO/FO courses. Individual Training topics related to interagency requirements must be developed and addressed through Joint Training Policy and Guidance. TIM2 has developed a concept paper that lays out a framework of topic modules across the career continuum presented in CJCSI 1800.01C. It suggests the "who, what, and when" for learning; the "how and where" of implementation will be determined later. The modular structure and relative indicated division of time are designed to facilitate use in both short- and longer-term settings, both resident and via Advanced Distance Learning, and for individual training, JPME settings, and for self-development

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7, JKDDC & JEDD; OCR : JFCOM, J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04SOUTHCOM04</u>: Reduce/streamline the Developing Countries Combined Exercise Program (DCCEP) process.

<u>Discussion</u>: Combatant Commands submit a two-year DCCEP plan through JS-J7 to OSD annually NLT 31 March via msg (forty to fifty pages). OSD approval is historically received in Aug/Sep timeframe via msg. Approval for Out of Cycle (emerging, mission critical) requirements are submitted through the same lengthy process and many times, approval is not received on time.

<u>Impact</u>: The current process requires extensive man hours for USSOUTHCOM, Service Components and MILGPs. Additionally, it hinders the commanders flexibility and ability to support mission critical, short fused, emerging requirements.

<u>Submitted By</u>: SCJ34, Ms. Karen Castleton, 305-437-3588,

<u>Endstate</u>: DCCEP procedures are outlined in Title 10, US Code, Section 2010. DCCEP funds must be approved by the SecDef in accordance with federal law. The funds are allocated from the COCOM executive agents. DCCEP and DCCEP Out-of-Cycle request procedures are managed by OSD and are as streamlined as federal (Title 10) law allows.

<u>Status</u>: Open, requires JEXD update

<u>OPR</u>: JS, J7, JEXD; OCR: JFCOM J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-12 (BOGrp 2)</u>: Joint Education and Training Currency.

<u>Issue</u>: No process for maintaining currency of joint education and training

<u>Impact</u>: Today's staff officer faces an unprecedented rate of change across the political-military environment. Education and training programs must dynamically update to maintain currency and relevance. The integration of these processes and systems is ad hoc…leaving individual joint staff officer preparation trailing the needs of the field.

<u>Plan of Action</u>: JS, J7 meet with educators and trainers to develop a process to rapidly update joint education and training courses.

<u>Submitted By</u>: BOGrp 2

<u>Endstate</u>: Joint education and training programs systematically update their learning objectives and courses to keep up with the rapidly changing pol-mil environment.

<u>Status</u>: The Joint Faculty Education Conference (JFEC) was conducted 21-23 July 05 and developed 10 FY05 Special Areas of [JPME] Emphasis . A supplementary conference was held 7 Sep 05 at the AWC for information briefing exposure. Military Education Coordination Council recommended and the Chairman approved seven FY06 Special Areas of Emphasis. JFEC 06 is scheduled for July 06. J-7 JTD, working with J-7 JEDD, is conducting a high level assessment of some existing critical joint billets to identify required tasks and correlating available training and education programs that prepare personnel to serve in the joint billets. The JKDDC JMO will conduct the task analysis, identify specific available training and education programs, and identify gaps.

<u>OPRs</u>: Joint Staff J7, JKDDC, JEDD; OCR : JFCOM

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout; COCOMs provide learning (education and training) requirements to JS/J-7 formal processes

WJTC 03 Issues

<u>03JT01</u>: Joint Training System (JTS) Familiarization.

<u>Issue</u>: The joint community does not fully understand the capability and potential of the Joint Training System (JTS) and Joint Training Information and Management System (JTIMS) to enhance warfighting proficiency. JTS and JTIMS familiarization and orientation are not embedded in the Professional Military Education (PME) Continuum.

<u>Impact</u>: JTS cannot be fully implemented if not fully understood.

<u>Submitted By</u>: JFCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: Joint community fully understands JTS and JTIMS.

<u>Status</u>: JKDDC is developing an advanced distance learning course overview on the Joint Training System. A JS J-7/JFCOM J-7 approved course outline/lesson plan exists and all that remains is for JKDDC to build the JTS overview course and field it online. With the transfer of oversight to JFCOM, and the realignment of contract support, a new delivery schedule has not been established.

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7, Mr. Evans; OCR: JFCOM J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

WJTC 03 Issues

<u>03JT21</u>: Refine the UJTL.

<u>Issue</u>: A high quality UJTL is fundamental to credible JMETL. Geographic commands are concerned that the current UJTL and its revision process falls short of its original intent of simplicity, universality, doctrinal purity, and applicability.

<u>Impact</u>: A growing, diluted UJTL frustrates command JMETL build task selection

<u>Endstate</u>: A simple, universal, doctrinally pure, and applicable UJTL

<u>Plan of Action</u>: JS and JFCOM co-host a UJTL workshop as part of the UJTL 5.0 process attended by appropriate subject matter experts. Include this requirement in UJTL 5.0 requirements document.

<u>Submitted By</u>: WJTC02 Breakout Team-2

<u>Status:</u> UJTL is being automated in JDEIS. UJTL V 5.0 signed and on the JEL. UJTL Working Party is developing way-ahead for UJTL V 6.0.

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff J7 JTPB, LTC Sullins; OCR: JFCOM, COCOMs and CSAs

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

WJTC 03 Issues

<u>03JT16</u>: Joint Training System Specialists for Combat Support Agencies.

<u>Issue:</u> While some CSAs have Joint Training System Specialists in place, most do not and have requested such support.

<u>Impact</u>: If available, JTSS provide consistent, highly-trained JTS support that is sensitive to specific CSA requirements/issues.

<u>Endstate</u>: JTSS support for all CSAs.

<u>Plan of Action</u>: OSD provide funding support.

<u>Submitted By</u>: WJTC02 Breakout Team-4

<u>OPR</u>: Joint Staff, J7, JTPB, LTC Sullins

<u>Status</u>: T2 I Plan has provided funding to increase CSA support starting FY06.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Closeout

WJTC 02 Issues

<u>02JT01</u>: Joint Training Assessment Data Collection and Reporting Tools.

<u>Issue</u>: Current training assessment results are not being briefed/reported to leadership in a usable format. Assessment results may not be formatted/structured in a way that reflects leadership's priorities/concerns/focus. While no universal assessment/ evaluation tools currently exist, tools such as those developed at JFCOM and PACOM may serve as interim workarounds until JTIMS is fully developed. Also, some users expressed strong interest in fully independent tools, which might then link to JTIMS.

<u>Impact:</u> Assessment results may receive limited leadership attention or be misunderstood. Increased flexibility in assessing non-JTIMS based activities. Current processes and outputs may lead to limited leadership attention or be misunderstood. Lack of mature tools increases staff workload and decreases training assessment efficacy.

<u>Submitted By</u>: WJTC02 Breakout Team-2/3

<u>Endstate</u>: Development of a straightforward, relatable, and easily executable training assessment reporting product(s). Selection of an interim assessment/evaluation support tool for joint force use until final JTIMS development and implementation.

<u>Status</u>: NexGen JTIMS SPIRAL 2 provides a standardized online capability to develop training objectives, capture observations, and generate standardized templated reports. SPIRAL 3 will provide offline capabilities for Training Objective Development and Observation Collection and provide initial capabilities for Ad Hoc query and reporting features. Milestone: May 06.

<u>OPR:</u> Joint Staff J7 JTPB: LTC Sullins; OCR: COCOMs

<u>Recommendation</u>: **Closeout**

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JS, J8

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04DCMA03</u>: Readiness reporting and evolving AMETL Development

<u>Discussion</u>: Agency in early JTS stages; how evolving AMETL (unapproved) is reported via DRRS/JQRR. Concern: leadership hesitant to report against an in-progress AMETL. Question: Do we seek right AMETL or report for experience?

<u>Impact</u>: AMETL in flux, not approved by leadership, and just getting integrated within the agency; reporting places leadership/OPRs in uncertain position and provides other-than AMETL based report (functional?)

<u>Submitted By</u>: John Parker, DCMA Combat Support Center, DSN 325-1836, john.h.parker@dcma.mil

<u>Endstate</u>: DRRS requires AMETL based readiness, agency leadership/OPRs currently working through AMETL development

<u>Status</u>: Director, DCMA has approved an agency METL which forms the basis of joint training and joint readiness reporting. AMETLs are now developed in DRRS and exported into NexGen JTIMS.

<u>OPR:</u> JS, J8

<u>Recommendation</u>: **Closeout**

Due Outs and Deliverables

OPR: JFCOM

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-03 (BOGrp 1):</u> JNTC LVC capability to support training of new and evolving missions.

<u>Discussion:</u> The JNTC must rapidly provide the LVC capability to meet the training requirements associated with new, evolving, or previously unsupported mission essential tasks. Examples are USSTRATCOM strategic national-level JMETS (e.g., Global Strike, Global ISR, Information Operations, Global Ballistic Missile Defense) and NORAD USNORTHCOM homeland defense and military assistance to civil authorities missions.

<u>Impact:</u> Decreased efficiency and quality of training as a result of under-utilization of JNTC for these missions

<u>Submitted By:</u> NORAD-USNORTHCOM (Mr Koster, J73), USSTRATCOM (Maj Coughlin, OP70).

<u>Endstate</u>: JNTC rapidly responds to and fully supports new LVC requirements

<u>Status:</u> JWFC implemented a requirements identification and prioritization process involving COCOM representation and internally reorganized to provide more focused management of LVC capabilities development to meet priority training requirements. New capabilities were fielded in four of the top ten requirements areas identified by combatant command consensus inputs to the Joint Training Review Group with development planned or ongoing in the remaining six areas.

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM JWFC; OCRs: COCOMs, CSAs

<u>Recommendation</u>: COCOM's endorse, participate, and provide feedback on responsiveness of JFT requirements process

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-04 (BOGrp 1):</u> Enable COCOM**s and Service Components to employ JNTC for training with minimal or no JWFC direct support.**

<u>Discussion:</u> JNTC should be employed for COCOM and Component sponsored training in addition to scheduled JNTC events and those events that JWFC can support. To accomplish this, the JNTC technical solutions must not require JWFC support; sufficient theater expertise must be developed in each COCOM to employ JNTC to design, build and execute training; and both COCOMs and Components must be able to program funding to pay for the costs associated with use of JNTC.

<u>Impact:</u> Training not supported by JNTC infrastructure and training enablers may be sub-optimized and not have requisite joint context while JNTC investment is under-utilized.

<u>Submitted by:</u> HQ USEUCOM, EPOC-JTRE, Col Dave Beydler.

<u>Endstate:</u> COCOMs and Service Components have established the expertise, resources, and procedures to routinely employ JNTC to enhance joint training and provide requisite joint context for service training.

<u>Status:</u> The JNTC program has enabled decentralized execution of training through the Program Centric approach; fielding of the JNTC Support Element, their coordination with JWFC Desk Officers for additional training support, and validation of training requirements through the accreditation process. JNTC Certification is standardizing technical access and support. The JWFC has established a network operations center to support the Joint Training and Experimentation Network (JTEN) and the network is available to support Service and COCOM Joint training events with minimal JWFC involvement.

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM JWFC; OCRs: COCOMs / Services

<u>Recommendation:</u> Close this issue since JNTC resources are available to all training organizations IAW Training Transformation (T2) Business Model to support the Global Joint Training Community. Perceived inequities or concerns identified by the COCOMS should be addressed to the Joint Staff J7 for adjudication within the T2 Process or directly to JWFC.

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04CENTCOM02:</u> Need baseline JMETL standards for COCOMs & Service Component Commands.

<u>Discussion:</u> COCOMs & Service Components are required to follow the processes of the JTS, CRS, & DRRS. Each process has a reporting requirement, i.e. training/readiness assessments, JQRR, & ESORTS. JMETL is the common reporting theme. Among commands should exist commonality in standards in terms of DOTMLPF. COCOMs & Service Components are developing or have developed standards for their JMETs. These standards are radically different among commands. Propose Joint Staff identify the commonality, among commands, in standards in terms of DOTMLPF & create baseline JMET standards to report against.

<u>Impact:</u> If not done, training/readiness assessments, JQRR, & ESORTS could display data for CJCS & SECDEF that is not comparable, not complementary, & disjointed.

<u>Submitted By:</u> Mr. Greg Ginter, CCJ3-E, DSN 651-4079, ginterge@centcom.mil.

<u>Status:</u> IAW current draft Joint Training Policy & Guidance JFCOM will be tasked to develop baseline tasks, conditions and standards for JTF/FCC HQ. This is also concurrent with the task referenced in DODD 1322.18 and UCP guidance. Based on current JTF HQ JMETLs in DRRS and the 66 tasks in the JTF HQ MTG, JFCOM developed an initial draft baseline set of tasks for review by the JS and COCOMs. Recent guidance from the JS is to collaborate with the COCOMs on the issue at the WJTSC 06-1.

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM ; OCR JS J7, COCOMs

<u>Recommendation</u>: Discuss at WJTSC 06-1 during JTF HQ Training/Readiness Certification issue

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-16 (BOGrp 3)</u>: Increased JFCOM support to training.

<u>Discussion:</u> JFCOM provides operational train-up & exercise support to COCOMs. Historically, this support has been provided to two major COCOM events per FY. COCOM's typically require JFCOM support for more than "2 per year" but must compete with other COCOM's and JFCOM Components for support. COCOM's are not resourced to provide internal assets for operational train-up & exercise support & are forced to do so "out of hide" to ensure events are executed successfully. Current JFCOM resources cannot satisfy COCOM requirements. Increases required for Observer/Trainers, contractor support and deployable M&S equipment. Initiatives such as Pacific Warfighting Center, EUCOM use of Warrior Prep Center and JNTC network decrease reliance on JFCOM assets. Additional Observer/Trainers and contractor support required to meet COCOM requirements.

<u>Impact</u>: COCOM operational train-up events & major exercises are not as efficient or effective & would benefit from JWFC support. Resources taken "out of hide" to execute events are a training distractor.

<u>Submitted By</u>: COCOM's

<u>Endstate</u>: JFCOM support to appropriate number of COCOM training events (all forms of training)

<u>Status</u>: JFCOM provides Joint operational training to Combatant Commanders. This support has historically been limited to two supported exercises per fiscal year. Component Command's typically request more support from the JWFC than the two supported. Current USJFCOM resources cannot satisfy increased demand for observer/trainers, contractor support, and deployable models & simulations equipment.

- JWFC submitted a Joint Force Trainer Requirement during the FY07-11 IPL requesting an increase in military observer trainers and additional funding to increase the number of contractors available to support Joint Training requirements.

<u>OPR</u>: JFCOM, J7; OCR JS J7

<u>Recommendation</u>: Suggest COCOM support the increase of JFCOM's IPL.

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-21 (BOGrp 3)</u>: JFCOM COCOM Coordination visits must be scheduled at COS level.

<u>Discussion</u>: JFCOM is coordinating with COCOM's for command-wide team to visit each COCOM to discuss required support from JFCOM. Intent is to minimize the multiple visits from across JFCOM. First visit to STRATCOM recently completed. JFCOM currently coordinating future visits. These visits must be coordinated at the COS level in order to get the attention required. Agenda needs to be tailored to COCOM's needs. Follow-on documentation of visit results by JFCOM and provided to COCOM also required.

<u>Impact</u>: Outcomes from visits should influence WJTC agenda

<u>Submitted By</u>: JFCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: COCOM visits coordinated

<u>Status</u>: JFCOM Coordination visits are now managed by the JFCOM COS office and the JFCOM J5. Quarterly VTC's are scheduled by the COS office for each COCOM at the COS level. Agenda includes discussing JFCOM's support for the COCOM's top three priorities.

<u>OPR</u>: JFCOM J7; OCR: COCOMs

<u>Recommendation</u>: VTC's continue. Close Issue.

WJTC 04 Issues

<u>04JT-22 (BOGrp 3)</u>: Lack of common DoD-wide process for incorporation of testing and experimentation in joint training

<u>Discussion</u>: OSD Training & Testing Steering Group is seeking to embed test activity in training to test with appropriate joint context as tasked within SPG 06. JFCOM, as the joint force integrator and experimenter, also requires the training environment to assess capabilities such as DJC2, Blue Force Tracking and SJFHQ. The need for joint context and resource limitations require some test and prototyping activity in training. JFCOM has developed a manual that outlines the process the test and experimentation community will use for integrating into JFCOM sponsored and supported training. JFCOM could serve as "one stop shop" for DOT&E and experimentation community for integration into training.

<u>Impact</u>: DOT&E forced to engage multiple COCOM's to search for venues. Testing & experimentation short-notice insertions are disruptive to COCOM exercise planning.

<u>Submitted By</u>: JFCOM

<u>Endstate</u>: Common policy for incorporating test/experimentation activity in training

<u>Status</u>: The JFCOM JETA Integration Manual was signed in June 05. Discussions are ongoing concerning the need to develop a process to incorporate DOD wide testing and experimentation. The OPR for this initiative is JS J7.

<u>OPR</u>: JFCOM J7; OCR: OSD (DOT&E, AT&L, OFT, P&R), JS J7, COCOM's, CSA's, Services

<u>Recommendation:</u> Open discussion w/COCOMs, OSD, DOT&E and all stakeholders to develop common DoD policy for incorporating test & evaluation and experimentation into joint training.

WJTC 03 Issues

<u>03JT-02</u>: Web-Database of Certified Joint Training Courses.

<u>Issue</u>: No catalog of certified joint training courses is readily available. A web-based database of certified joint training courses to include distance learning located at JFCOM is needed.

<u>Impact</u>: The Joint Community is unaware of available joint courses.

<u>Endstate</u>: A comprehensive, web-based database of certified joint training courses and distance learning courses is readily available to the joint community.

<u>Plan of Action</u>: JFCOM will continue to maintain the Joint Course Database in accordance with the Joint Training Manual.

<u>Submitted By</u>: NORAD

<u>Status</u>: On the Issue 03JT02 Web-Database of Certified Joint Training Courses, there is a joint course database at the below website.

https://webapps.jwfc.jfcom.mil/Courseware/course_search_list_frame.html?mode=view

<u>OPR:</u> JFCOM J7; OCR: Joint Staff J7, JTD

<u>Recommendation</u>: Close the issue. Make the joint course database website a general access website by creating a link to it from the JKDDC website.